

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/787,119	03/14/2001	Daisuke Yano	010324	6260	
23850 7590 06/18/2007 ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER		
			RICKMAN, HOLLY C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1773		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/787,119	YANO ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Holly Rickman	1773	

	Holly Rickman	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d)  They present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present of th</li></ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOw); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		•	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>	:		
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  10. The affidavit or other evidence failed to constant the sufficient reasons who it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n or the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	nea.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered busee attachment.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: proposed amendment of claim 12 presents a new issue after final that would require further consideration.

Art Unit: 1773

## Advisory Action (continued)

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant maintains that Kubots fails to meet the claim limitation requiring that a thickness of the printed layer is uniform. Applicant argues that the figures in Kubota cannot be relied upon to meet this limitation because there is no indication that the figures are drawn to scale.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's position. The figures in Kubota are relied upon to show that the printed layer taught therein meets the claim limitation requiring that the thickness of the printed layer is "uniform." The examiner notes that the term "uniform" is a very broad term. There is no recitation in the claims regarding the particle parameters of uniformity that must be met (for example, a certain maximum surface roughness). Thus, the term must be assigned the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the prior art. The examiner maintains that one of ordinary skill in the art would view the printing layer taught by Kubota as being "uniform."

Applicant maintains that it is not proper to rely on the drawings of Kubota for a teaching of uniformity because it is improper to rely on a drawing that is not drawn to scale to show a particular sizes or angles. The examiner maintains that there is a difference between relying on a drawing to show that something is uniform versus showing "particular sizes and angles." The figures in Kubota are not relied upon for specific measurements but rather for a general teaching that meets the broad recitation "uniform." Thus, reliance upon the figures is deemed to be appropriate.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,119 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

Applicant argues that Kubota fails to disclose a transfer tape having a pattern printed region and a filling layer region as claimed. The examiner maintains that Kubota is clear that the pattern layer taught therein (figure no. 5) can be used having a pattern portion and a non-pattern portion (col. 3, line 65 to col. 4, line 7). This structure can be used in a transfer process in order to produce the structure shown in Fig 5 (col. 7, lines 3-11).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Holly Rickman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

hr June 14, 2007